We review the Lagrange multiplier rule from multivariable calculus.

**Theorem 4.28.** Suppose $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ are differentiable functions on open sets containing $(x_0, y_0)$ such that $\nabla g(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$. If $f$ has an extreme value at $(x_0, y_0)$ subject to the constraint $g(x, y) = c$ (i.e., $g(x_0, y_0) = c$), then there exists $\lambda$ such that the function $f^* = f + \lambda g$ satisfies $\nabla f^*(x_0, y_0) = 0$.

Typically the Lagrange multiplier can be eliminated from the systems of equations $\nabla f^*(x_0, y_0) = 0$ and $g(x_0, y_0) = c$, thereby enabling the finding of candidate points $(x_0, y_0)$ at which the constrained optimization problem may have a solution.

We will show how to apply the Lagrange multiplier rule to a functional subject to a certain kind of constraint.

For $C^2$ functions $L(x, y, y')$ and $G(x, y, y')$, the variational problem of minimizing the functional

$$J(y) = \int_a^b L(x, y, y') \, dx$$

subject to the integral constraint

$$W(y) = \int_a^b G(x, y, y') \, dx = k,$$

for a constant $k$, where $y \in C^2[a, b]$ and $y(a) = y_a$ and $y(b) = y_b$, is known as the isoperimetric problem.

The equation $W(y) = k$ is known as an isoperimetric constraint.

We suppose that $y_0$ is a local minimum of the functional $J(y)$ that satisfies the isoperimetric constraint.

For the variation of $y_0$, the one-parameter family $z = y_0 + \epsilon h$ may not satisfy the isoperimetric constraint.

We can resolve this issue by using a two-parameter family of variations

$$z = y_0 + \epsilon_1 h_1 + \epsilon h_2$$

for $h_1, h_2 \in C^2[a, b]$ with $h_i(a) = h_i(b) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.

To make this work, we assume that $W$ does not have an extremum at $y_0$.

Then for any choice of $h_1$ and $h_2$ there will be choices of $\epsilon_1$ and $\epsilon_2$ near $(0, 0)$ such that

$$W(z) = k.$$ 

Evaluation of $J$ and $W$ on the two-parameter family of variations $z$ gives functions

$$J(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \int_a^b L(x, z, z') \, dt$$

$$W(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \int_a^b G(x, z, z') \, dx.$$
\[ \mathcal{W}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \int_a^b G(x, z, z') \, dt = k. \]

Because \( y_0 \) is a local minimum of \( J(y) \) subject to the isoperimetric constraint, the point \((\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = (0, 0)\) is where \( \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \) has a local minimum subject to the constraint \( \mathcal{W}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = k \).

We can thus apply the Lagrange multiplier rule to \( \mathcal{J} \) subject to \( \mathcal{W} = k \).

There is a Lagrange multiplier \( \lambda \) for which the function \( \mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{J} + \lambda \mathcal{W} \) satisfies

\[ \nabla \mathcal{J}^*(0, 0) = (0, 0). \]

Now

\[ \mathcal{J}^* = \int_a^b L(x, z, z') \, dx + \lambda \int_a^b G(x, z, z') \, dt = \int_a^b \left( L(x, z, z') + \lambda G(x, z, z') \right) \, dt. \]

We define the auxiliary function to be the integrand:

\[ L^*(x, z, z') = L(x, z, z') + \lambda G(x, z, z'). \]

The partial derivatives of \( \mathcal{J}^* \) at \((\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = (0, 0)\) are

\[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}^*}{\partial \epsilon_i}(0, 0) = \int_a^b \left( L^*_y(x, y_0, y'_0)h_i + L^*_y(x, y_0, y'_0)h'_i \right) \, dx, \ i = 1, 2. \]

Integration by parts on the second term in the integral and the values of \( h_i \) are the endpoints gives

\[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}^*}{\partial \epsilon_i}(0, 0) = \int_a^b \left( L^*_y(x, y_0, y'_0) - \frac{d}{dx} L^*_y(x, y_0, y'_0) \right) h_i \, dx, \ i = 1, 2. \]

Because of the arbitrariness of \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \), the Fundamental Lemma gives the Euler-Lagrange equation

\[ L^*_y(x, y, y') - \frac{d}{dx} L^*_y(x, y, y') = 0 \]

which the local minimizer \( y_0 \) must satisfy.

The solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations will involve two arbitrary constants and the Lagrange multiplier \( \lambda \).

These may be determined from the conditions \( y(a) = y_a \) and \( y(b) = y_b \), and the substitution of \( y_0 \) into the isoperimetric constraint (thereby bringing in the value \( k \)).

**Example 4.29.** What is the shape of a rope of length \( l \) and constant linear density \( \rho \) that is suspended between two fixed points \((a, y_a)\) and \((b, y_b)\)?

We can answer this with an isoperimetric problem.

Let \( y(x) \) be any shape of the rope with the assumption that \( y(x) > 0 \).
A small segment of the rope has length $ds$ and a mass of $\rho ds$.
The potential energy acting on the small segment of the rope is that determined by
gravity acting, and is $\rho g y ds$ relative to $y = 0$.
The total potential energy of the rope is the functional
\[ J(y) = \int_0^1 \rho g y \, ds = \int_a^b \rho g y \sqrt{1 + [y']^2} \, dx. \]
There is no kinetic energy because we are considering the rope in an equilibrium position
of hanging between two fixed points.
The shape of the curve of the hanging rope is the function $y(x)$ that minimizes the
potential energy.
The isoperimetric constraint is the constant length $l$ of the rope, and is the integral
\[ W(y) = \int_a^b \sqrt{1 + [y']^2} \, dx = l. \]
For the Lagrange multiplier $\lambda$, the auxiliary function here is
\[ L^* = L + \lambda G = \rho g y \sqrt{1 + [y']^2} + \lambda \sqrt{1 + [y']^2}. \]
The Euler-Lagrange equations are
\[ 0 = L_y - \frac{d}{dx} L_{yy'} = \rho g \sqrt{1 + [y']^2} - \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{\rho g yy' \sqrt{1 + [y']^2}}{\sqrt{1 + [y']^2}} + \frac{\lambda y'}{\sqrt{1 + [y']^2}} \right). \]
Rather than solving this nonlinear second-order ODE, we recognize that the auxiliary
function $L^*$ does not depend on $x$, so there is a first integral,
\[ C = L^* - y' L_{yy'}^* = \rho g y \sqrt{1 + [y']^2} + \lambda \sqrt{1 + [y']^2} - y' \left( \frac{\rho g yy' \sqrt{1 + [y']^2}}{\sqrt{1 + [y']^2}} + \frac{\lambda y'}{\sqrt{1 + [y']^2}} \right) \]
\[ = (\rho g y + \lambda) \sqrt{1 + [y']^2} - (\rho g y + \lambda) \left( \frac{[y']^2}{\sqrt{1 + [y']^2}} \right) \]
\[ = (\rho g y + \lambda) \left( \sqrt{1 + [y']^2} - \frac{[y']^2}{\sqrt{1 + [y']^2}} \right) \]
\[ = (\rho g y + \lambda) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + [y']^2}} \right). \]
Solving for $y'$ gives
\[ C^2 [y']^2 = (\rho g y + \lambda)^2 - C^2. \]
Separation of variables gives

\[ \frac{dy}{\sqrt{(\rho gy + \lambda)^2 - C^2}} = \frac{dx}{C}. \]

The substitution \( u = \rho gy + \lambda \) gives

\[ \frac{du}{\rho g \sqrt{u^2 - C^2}} = \frac{dx}{C}. \]

Integration of this gives

\[ \frac{1}{\rho g} \cosh^{-1} \left( \frac{u}{C} \right) = \frac{x}{C} + C_2. \]

Undoing the substitution we get the solutions

\[ y = -\frac{\lambda}{\rho g} + \frac{C}{\rho g} \cosh \left( \frac{\rho gx}{C} + C_2 \right). \]

This necessary condition for the shape of the hanging rope is known as a **catenary**.