

## SUMS OF UNITS IN SELF-INJECTIVE RINGS

ANJANA KHURANA, DINESH KHURANA, AND PACE P. NIELSEN

ABSTRACT. We prove that if no field of order less than  $n + 2$  is a homomorphic image of a right self-injective ring  $R$ , then for any element  $a \in R$  and central units  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in U(R)$  there exists a unit  $u \in U(R)$  such that  $a + u_i u \in U(R)$  for each  $i \geq 1$ .

Many authors have studied rings whose elements can be written as sums of units. For instance see [2, 5, 6, 10–14]. For a quick survey of this field we refer the reader to [11]. In [11] a ring is called  $n$ -good if every element can be written as a sum of  $n$  units. A classical result of Wolfson [13] and Zelinsky [14] is that for a vector space  $V_D$  over a division ring  $D$ , the endomorphism ring  $\text{End}(V)$  is 2-good except when  $\dim(V_D) = 1$  and  $D$  has two elements. As a generalization of the result of Wolfson and Zelinsky it is proved in [5] that a right self-injective ring is 2-good if it does not have a field of order two as its homomorphic image. Following [10], a ring  $R$  is called *twin-good* if for every  $a \in R$  there exists a unit  $u \in U(R)$  such that both  $a + u$  and  $a - u$  are units. In [10, Theorem 9] it is proved that a right self-injective ring is twin-good if no field of order less than 4 is its homomorphic image.

In view of the above results, it is natural to ask what happens if no field of order less than  $n + 2$  is a homomorphic image of a right self-injective ring  $R$ . To state our answer to this question concisely we introduce a new definition. For a positive integer  $n \geq 1$  we say that an element  $a \in R$  is  *$n$ -tuple-good* if for any set  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  of central units in  $R$ , there exists a unit  $u \in U(R)$  such that  $a + u_i u \in U(R)$  for each  $i \geq 1$ . For example, every nilpotent element and every element in the Jacobson radical of a ring is  *$n$ -tuple-good*, by taking  $u = 1$ . A ring will be called  *$n$ -tuple-good* if every element is  *$n$ -tuple-good*. For instance, a field is  *$n$ -tuple-good* precisely when it has more than  $n + 1$  elements. Note that 1-tuple-good rings are precisely the 2-good rings. It is clear that if  $R/J(R)$  is  *$n$ -tuple-good*, then so is  $R$ . Given an indexing set  $I$  and a direct product of rings  $R = \prod_{i \in I} R_i$ , the ring  $R$  is  *$n$ -tuple-good* if and only if  $R_i$  is  *$n$ -tuple-good* for each  $i \in I$ . We will tacitly use these facts below. In this terminology the following is our main result.

**Theorem 1.** *A right self-injective ring  $R$  is  $n$ -tuple-good if no homomorphic image of  $R$  is a field of order less than  $n + 2$ .*

By taking  $n = 1$  we get [5, Theorem 1] which says that a right self-injective ring is 2-good if it does not have a field of order two as a homomorphic image. By taking  $n = 2$  we get that a right self-injective ring is 2-tuple good if no homomorphic image is a field of order less than 4. This, in view of Example 6 below, improves upon the main result [10, Theorem 9] where it is proved that such a ring is twin-good. Also, as a corollary we get that for a vector space  $V_D$  over a division ring  $D$ , the endomorphism ring  $\text{End}(V)$  is  *$n$ -tuple-good* except when  $\dim(V_D) = 1$  and  $D$  has less than  $n + 2$  elements.

To prove our main result, we will first prove two lemmas which are interesting in their own right. Recall that a ring  $R$  is said to be an *elementary divisor ring* if for any  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(R)$  there exist two units  $P, Q \in \text{GL}_n(R)$  such that  $PAQ$  is a diagonal matrix. The following result strengthens [4, Lemma 1] and [10, Lemma 5].

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16U60, Secondary 16D50, 16E50.

*Key words and phrases.* sums of units, right self-injective rings,  $n$ -good rings,  $n$ -tuple-good.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $n \geq 1$  be a positive integer. Any diagonal matrix in  $\mathbb{M}_{n+1}(R)$  is  $n$ -tuple-good. In particular, if  $R$  is an elementary divisor ring, then  $\mathbb{M}_{n+1}(R)$  is  $n$ -tuple-good.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})$  and suppose  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$  are central units in  $\mathbb{M}_{n+1}(R)$ . We know that the center of  $\mathbb{M}_{n+1}(R)$  consists of constant diagonal matrices whose diagonal entry is central in  $R$  (see [7, Exercise 1.9]), and so for each  $i \geq 1$  there exists a central unit  $u_i \in R$  such that  $U_i = u_i I$ . Let

$$U = \text{diag}(-u_1^{-1}a_1, -u_2^{-1}a_2, \dots, -u_n^{-1}a_n, 0) + E_{1,2} + E_{2,3} + \dots + E_{n-1,n} + E_{n,1},$$

where the  $E_{i,j}$  are the standard matrix units.

We first claim that  $U$  is a unit. Indeed, it is of the form

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} * & 1 & & & \\ & * & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & * & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By performing suitable elementary row operations this matrix reduces to the identity matrix. A similar computation shows that  $A + u_i U$  (for each  $i \geq 1$ ) similarly reduces to the identity matrix, and is thus also a unit.  $\square$

In general, a diagonal matrix in  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  may not be  $n$ -tuple-good. For example it is easy to see that the diagonal matrix  $A = \text{diag}(2, 3) \in \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is not even twin-good. For completeness we include a proof. Let  $A = \text{diag}(2, 3) \in \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $U = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Suppose both  $A - U$  and  $A + U$  are invertible. Then

$$\det(A - U) = 6 - 2d - 3a + \det(U) = \pm 1$$

and

$$\det(A + U) = 6 + 2d + 3a + \det(U) = \pm 1.$$

Adding the two equations we get that  $12 + 2\det(U) \in \{0, 2, -2\}$ . But as  $\det(U) = \pm 1$ , this is not possible.

It was proved by Kaplansky (see [4, Lemma 2]) that for any  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(R)$  with  $n > 1$ , and  $R$  any ring, there exists a unit  $U$  such that  $A - U$  is a diagonal matrix. Further it was proved by Henriksen [4, Lemma 1] that every diagonal matrix is a sum of two units. Thus, it follows that every matrix in  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$ , for  $n > 1$ , is a sum of three units [4, Theorem 3]. In view of Lemma 2 above and [4, Lemma 2] we get that for any  $A \in \mathbb{M}_{n+1}(R)$ , there exists a unit  $U \in \mathbb{M}_{n+1}(R)$  such that  $A - U$  is  $n$ -tuple-good.

Henriksen [4, Corollary 7] has given an example of a ring such that for any  $n > 1$  there exists a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(R)$  which is not a sum of two units. In a recent paper [12], Vámos and Wiegand studied the problem of writing matrices over Prüfer domains as sums of two units. In our next result, we give a class of rings over which every matrix is  $n$ -tuple-good for every positive integer  $n \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be a positive integer. Suppose  $R$  is a ring for which any  $J$ -semisimple indecomposable factor ring is simple artinian. (In particular, this holds if  $R$  is an abelian exchange ring.) Then  $S = \mathbb{M}_m(R)$  is  $n$ -tuple-good if either  $m > 1$  or no field of order less than  $n + 2$  is a homomorphic image of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $S = \mathbb{M}_m(R)$ ,  $A \in S$ , and  $U_i$  are central units in  $S$  for  $i \geq 1$ . We may again write  $U_i = u_i I$  where  $u_i \in Z(R)$ . For ideals  $I$  of  $R$  consider the following property:

(\*)  $\exists U \in \text{GL}_m(R/I)$  such that the image of  $A + U_i U$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{M}_m(R/I)$  for each  $i \geq 1$ .

We will work contrapositively, and so assume that  $(*)$  does not hold for the ideal  $(0)$ . We now apply a standard Zorn's Lemma argument (as in the proof of [5, Theorem 1]). Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the set of ideals  $I \subseteq R$  for which  $(*)$  fails, which we have assumed is nonempty. After partially ordering  $\mathcal{T}$  by inclusion, it is straightforward to show that chains in  $\mathcal{T}$  have upper bounds (given, as usual, by the union of the chain). Thus, we may fix an ideal  $I_0 \in \mathcal{T}$  which is maximal with respect to the partial ordering. Replacing  $R$  by  $R/I_0$ , we may as well assume  $I_0 = (0)$ . In particular, every proper factor ring of  $R$  satisfies  $(*)$ .

If  $\bar{R} = R/J(R)$  is a proper factor ring then there exists a unit  $\bar{U} \in \text{GL}_m(\bar{R})$  such that  $\overline{A + U_i \bar{U}}$  is a unit for each  $i$ . As units lift modulo the Jacobson radical, this would say that  $R$  also satisfies  $(*)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $J(R) = 0$  and hence  $R$  is  $J$ -semisimple. Similarly, if  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  is properly decomposable (i.e.  $R_1, R_2 \neq 0$ ) then there exists a unit  $V \in \text{GL}_m(R_1) = \text{GL}_m(R/R_2)$  and a unit  $W \in \text{GL}_m(R_2) = \text{GL}_m(R/R_1)$  exhibiting  $(*)$ . Putting  $U = (V, W) \in \text{GL}_m(R_1 \times R_2)$ , we see that  $R$  also satisfies  $(*)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $R$  is indecomposable. By our assumption in the statement of the lemma, we have that  $R$  is simple artinian.

Thus, without loss of generality (increasing  $m$  if necessary) we may assume that  $R$  is a division ring. It now suffices to show the forward direction of the lemma holds in this case. If  $m = 1$  then  $R = S$ . Furthermore, if  $R$  has more than  $n + 1$  elements then we can fix an element  $u \in R \setminus \{0, -u_1^{-1}A, -u_2^{-1}A, \dots, -u_n^{-1}A\}$ . As  $R$  is a division ring and  $u \neq 0$  we know  $u$  is a unit. Similarly,  $A + u_i u \neq 0$  (for each  $i$ ) are units, hence  $R$  satisfies  $(*)$ .

Now suppose  $m > 1$ . In this case, after multiplying on the left and right by units, we may take  $A = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . If we can prove the result for  $m = 2$  and  $m = 3$ , then the result will follow for arbitrary  $m > 1$ .

First suppose that  $m = 2$ . If  $A = 0$  or  $A = E_{11}$ , then we let  $U = E_{12} + E_{21}$ . If  $A = I$  and  $u_i^2 \neq -1$  for any  $i$ , then we let  $U = E_{12} - E_{21}$ . If  $A = I$  and  $u_i^2 = -1$  for some  $i$ , then pick  $v$  from  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  such that  $v \neq (u_i^2 + 1)/u_i$  for any  $i$  and let  $U = -vE_{11} - E_{12} + E_{21}$ . In each case  $U$  and  $A + u_i U$  are units for all  $i \geq 1$ .

Lastly suppose that  $m = 3$ . If  $A = 0$ ,  $A = E_{11}$ , or  $A = E_{11} + E_{22}$ , then we let  $U = E_{13} + E_{21} + E_{32}$ . If  $A = I$  and  $u_i^3 \neq -1$  for any  $i$ , then again let  $U = E_{13} + E_{21} + E_{32}$ . If  $A = I$  and  $u_i^3 = -1$  for some  $i$ , then pick  $v$  from  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  such that  $v \neq (u_i^3 + 1)/u_i$  for any  $i$ , and let  $U = -vE_{11} + E_{13} + E_{21} + E_{32}$ . In each case  $U$  is a unit, and  $A + u_i U \in U(S)$  for each  $i \geq 1$ .  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* By working modulo the Jacobson radical, we may assume that  $R$  is (von Neumann) regular and right self-injective. Using Type Theory as in the proof of [5, Theorem 1],

$$R \cong P \times S \times T,$$

where  $P$  is purely infinite,  $S$  is of type  $I_f$  and  $T$  is of type  $II_f$  (see [1, Chapter 10]). Also by Theorem 10.16, Proposition 10.28, and Theorem 10.24 in [1],

$$P \cong M_{n+1}(P), \quad T \cong M_{n+1}(T'), \quad \text{and} \quad S \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{M}_i(S_i),$$

where  $T'$  is a corner ring of  $T$ , and each  $S_i$  is abelian. As regular, right self-injective rings are elementary divisor rings, it follows from Lemma 2 that  $P$  and  $T$  are  $n$ -tuple-good. By Lemma 3, each term in the product defining  $S$  is  $n$ -tuple-good. So  $R$  is  $n$ -tuple-good.  $\square$

An idempotent  $e$  in a regular ring  $R$  is said to be abelian if  $eRe$  is an abelian ring. It is clear from the above proof that  $R$  is  $n$ -tuple-good if  $S_1 = 0$ . This is precisely when  $R/J(R)$  does not have an abelian central idempotent. Thus we have the following result which generalizes a classical result of Zelinsky [14] and Wolfson [13].

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $R$  be a right self-injective ring. If  $R/J(R)$  does not have an abelian central idempotent, then  $R$  is  $n$ -tuple-good for every positive integer  $n$ . In particular, for a vector space  $V_D$  over a division ring  $D$ , the endomorphism ring  $\text{End}(V)$  is  $n$ -tuple-good except when  $\dim(V_D) = 1$  and  $|D| < n + 2$ .*

**Remark 5.** Let  $R$  be any ring such that  $R/J$  is right self-injective for some ideal  $J \subseteq J(R)$ . If no homomorphic image of  $R$  is a field of order less than  $n + 2$ , then by Theorem 1 we know that  $R/J$ , and thus  $R$ , is  $n$ -tuple good. We list below three classes of such rings.

- (1) Let  $M_\Lambda$  be a quasi-continuous module with the finite exchange property, let  $R = \text{End}(M)$  and let  $J = \Delta = \{f \in R : \ker(f) \leq_e M\}$ . By [8, Theorem 3.10] we have that  $R/\Delta$  is right self-injective, and by [9, Lemma 11] we have  $\Delta \subseteq J(R)$ .
- (2) Let  $M_\Lambda$  be a flat cotorsion module, let  $R = \text{End}(M)$ , and let  $J = J(R)$ . Then  $R/J$  is right self-injective by [3, Corollary 14].
- (3) Let  $M_\Lambda$  be a strongly invariant submodule of an algebraically compact module, let  $R = \text{End}(M)$ , and let  $J = J(R)$ . Then  $R_R$  is algebraically compact [16, Theorem 11], and so  $R/J$  is right self-injective by [15, Theorem 9].

It is clear that any 2-tuple-good ring is twin-good, but the converse is not true in general.

**Example 6.** Let  $F = \{0, 1, u, 1 + u\}$  be a field with four elements and  $R = \mathbb{M}_2(F[x])$ . As  $F[x]$  is an elementary divisor ring, we know that  $R$  is 2-good. But as the characteristic of  $R$  is 2, we know further that  $R$  is twin-good. We next show that  $R$  is not 2-tuple-good. Let  $A = xI$ ,  $u_1 = u$ , and  $u_2 = 1 + u$ . Note that  $u_1 u_2 = 1$ . If  $A$  is 2-tuple-good, then there exists a unit  $U = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2 \\ f_3 & f_4 \end{pmatrix} \in R$  such that  $u_i A + U$  is a unit for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then

$$\det(u_i A + U) = u_i^2 x^2 + u_i x(f_1 + f_4) + f_1 f_4 + f_2 f_3 \in F^*.$$

But as  $U$  is a unit,  $\det(U) = f_1 f_4 + f_2 f_3 \in F^*$ . Thus,  $u_i^2 x^2 + u_i x(f_1 + f_4) \in F$ , and hence  $u_i x + f_1 + f_4 = 0$ . This implies that  $u_1 x = u_2 x$ . But as  $u_2 = 1 + u_1$ , we get  $x = 0$ , a contradiction.

We finally note that the converse of the main theorem is not true. Let  $\sigma$  denote the non-trivial automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . The skew-polynomial ring  $R = \mathbb{F}_4[x; \sigma]$  is left and right self-injective, is  $n$ -tuple good for all  $n \geq 1$ , but does not have  $\mathbb{F}_4$  as a homomorphic image.

#### REFERENCES

1. K. R. Goodearl, *Von Neumann Regular Rings*, second ed., Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co. Inc., Malabar, FL, 1991. MR 1150975 (93m:16006)
2. H. K. Grover, Z. Wang, Dinesh Khurana, J. Chen, and T. Y. Lam, *Sums of units in rings*, J. Algebra Appl. (to appear).
3. Pedro A. Guil Asensio and Ivo Herzog, *Pure-injectivity in the category of flat modules*, Algebra and its applications, Contemp. Math., vol. 419, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, pp. 155–166. MR 2279115 (2008b:16004)
4. Melvin Henriksen, *Two classes of rings generated by their units*, J. Algebra **31** (1974), 182–193. MR 0349745 (50 #2238)
5. Dinesh Khurana and Ashish K. Srivastava, *Right self-injective rings in which every element is a sum of two units*, J. Algebra Appl. **6** (2007), no. 2, 281–286. MR 2316422 (2008b:16050)
6. ———, *Unit sum numbers of right self-injective rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **75** (2007), no. 3, 355–360. MR 2331013 (2008e:16003)
7. T. Y. Lam, *Exercises in classical ring theory*, second ed., Problem Books in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003. MR 2003255 (2004g:16001)
8. Saad H. Mohamed and Bruno J. Müller, *Continuous and Discrete Modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 147, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. MR 1084376 (92b:16009)
9. ———,  *$\aleph$ -exchange rings*, Abelian groups, module theory, and topology (Padua, 1997), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 201, Dekker, New York, 1998, pp. 311–317. MR 1651176 (2000c:16006)
10. Feroz Siddique and Ashish K. Srivastava, *Decomposing elements of a right self-injective ring*, J. Algebra Appl. **12** (2013), no. 6, 10 pp.

11. Peter Vámos, *2-good rings*, Q. J. Math. **56** (2005), no. 3, 417–430. MR 2161255 (2006e:16055)
12. Peter Vámos and Sylvia Wiegand, *Block diagonalization and 2-unit sums of matrices over Prüfer domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 9, 4997–5020. MR 2806699 (2012f:13040)
13. Kenneth G. Wolfson, *An ideal-theoretic characterization of the ring of all linear transformations*, Amer. J. Math. **75** (1953), 358–386. MR 0053080 (14,718f)
14. Daniel Zelinsky, *Every linear transformation is a sum of nonsingular ones*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 627–630. MR 0062728 (16,8c)
15. Birge Zimmermann-Huisgen and Wolfgang Zimmermann, *Algebraically compact ring and modules*, Math. Z. **161** (1978), no. 1, 81–93. MR 0498722 (58 #16792)
16. ———, *Classes of modules with the exchange property*, J. Algebra **88** (1984), no. 2, 416–434. MR 747525 (85i:16040)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH-160014, INDIA  
E-mail address: [anjana@pu.ac.in](mailto:anjana@pu.ac.in)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH-160014, INDIA  
E-mail address: [dkhurana@pu.ac.in](mailto:dkhurana@pu.ac.in)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY, PROVO, UT 84602, UNITED STATES  
E-mail address: [pace@math.byu.edu](mailto:pace@math.byu.edu)